To: "Robershotte, Paul J SPD" [Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil]

Cc: "Smith, Chip R Mr CIV USA ASA CW" [chip.smith1@us.army.mil]; N=Erin

Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Karen

Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;"Nepstad, Michael G SPK"

[Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil]; N=Karen

Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;"Nepstad, Michael G SPK" [Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil]; Nepstad, Michael G SPK"

[Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil]; Jewell, Michael S SPK"

[Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil]; Salt, Rock" [rock.salt@us.army.mil]

From: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Fri 6/24/2011 7:07:44 PM

Subject: RE: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Not quite. I think the assignment list was that Patti Idlof at the BOR was going to come back with the revised language for "purpose and need" that will go into the NEPA document. The Corps was tasked with getting everyone their view of the most current "basic and overall project purpose" statement for the site-specific elements (the conveyance). The Corps product is solely a 404 issue.

Tom Hagler
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2
San Francisco, California 94105-3901

Phone: (415)972-3945

From: "Robershotte, Paul J SPD" < Paul. J. Robershotte@usace.army.mil>
To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, "Nepstad, Michael G SPK"

<Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>

Cc: "Jewell, Michael S SPK" <Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil>, "Smith, Chip R Mr CIV USA ASA CW" <chip.smith1@us.army.mil>, "Salt, Rock" <rock.salt@us.army.mil>, Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA Date: 06/24/2011 11:27 AM

Subject: RE: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Karen

Yes, it was Dale H-F that specifically asked the status of the Purpose & Need. I shared that we had hoped that we might include the P & N in the MOU, and that the Purpose was pretty close (needed to capture the original 2009 NOI Plus, EPA concern, plus the Oct 2010 Lead Agency response). David Nawi

was sending Dale the Oct 2010 letter. Further, that the Bureau was to revisit Chapter 2 and propose the changes they wanted to make to "Need" and get that submitted. I think that is where we are. DWR is supportive in getting involved and getting this advanced.

Paul

----Original Message-----

From: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 11:15 AM

To: Robershotte, Paul J SPD; Nepstad, Michael G SPK

Cc: Jewell, Michael S SPK; Smith, Chip R Mr CIV USA ASA CW; Salt, Rock;

Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov; Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Re: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Thanks Paul! I agree with point #5. Wouldn't next step on that be a submittal from the Lead Agencies, followed by a response from Corps and us? Did David or Mark agree to move forward on that? - Karen

From: "Robershotte, Paul J SPD" [Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil]

Sent: 06/24/2011 11:07 AM MST

To: "Nepstad, Michael G SPK" < Michael.G. Nepstad@usace.army.mil>

Cc: "Jewell, Michael S SPK" < Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil>; "Smith, Chip

R Mr CIV USA ASA CW" <chip.smith1@us.army.mil>; "Salt, Rock"

<rock.salt@us.army.mil>; Erin Foresman; Tom Hagler; Karen Schwinn

Subject: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Mike, with cc to Mr Salt, Chip, Mike, Karen, Tom & Erin:

David Nawi & I had a constructive discussion with Mark Cowin, Dale Hoffman-Floerke, and Cathy Caruthers from DWR this morning. The summary of Mark's comments would include:

- 1. DWR sees the advantages and benefits of addressing NEPA and CWA both at this time;
- 2. Thus, DWR will pursue being a signatory on the proposed MOU;
- 3. Recognizing, however, this does not require "pressing reset" on our targeted schedules (and recognizing everyone's process fatigue associated with BDCP);
- 4. The version we received Wednesday looks quite good, but we will need some time to digest, ask questions, and suggest edits;

5. We see no reason to wait on advancing to Checkpoints identified in the MOU such as agreement on Propose & Need, but do this in parallel with finalizing and signing the MOU.
So, I think we made progress this morning.
Best,
Paul
Paul J Robershotte
Special Advisor
Integrated Water Resource Planning
US Army Corps of Engineers, South Pacific Div
415-503-6639 (office)
415-602-3806 (blackberry)
415-503-6640 (fax)
Building Strong on the Cornerstone of the Southwest!
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE